

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/577,854	05/25/2000	Albert A. Burlando	P/4593	6265
75	90 04/12/2002			
Marn & Associates		EXAMINER		
136 Drum Point Suite 7A			SMITH, RICHARD A	
Brick, NJ 0872	23		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2859	
			DATE MAILED: 04/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~	Application No.	[A = lia and/a)			
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/577,854	BURLANDO, ALBERT A.			
	Examiner R. Alexander Smith	Art Unit 2859			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe					
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	'HIS APPLICATION IN CONDI' void abandonment of this appli) a timely filed amendment whi	FION FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a licential cation in			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	, , ,,				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 14 March 2002. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) \boxtimes they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 6-8</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					
	Der				
	Diego Gutiero	rez			
S. Patent and Trademark Office	:- ami Datent	Examiner			
TO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Advi	sory Action Technology Cent	er 280@art of Paper No. 13			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The changes in claims 1 and 6, i.e., "their said ends" to --the ends-- in each of these claims does not correct the antecedent basis problem stated in the final Office action mailed 21 December 2001. First and second ends have been previously introduced for the support member, therefore there is antecedent basis of "their said ends" or --the ends-- with respect to the support member. However, ends have not been previously introduced for the reflective member, therefore the proposed change of --the ends-- contained in the reply filed 14 March 2002 has the same antecedent basis problem with respect to the reflective member as "their said ends" in the Applicant's Amendment A filed on 27 September 2001.